Orthodontic approach in dental trauma: systematic review and meta-analysis
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Abstract

Introduction: There is a high prevalence of dental trauma in patients in need of orthodontic treatment, so it is important the knowledge about the orthodontic approach in cases of traumatized teeth. Thus, this study conducted a systematic review about orthodontic treatment over 24.2 months were studied, and 484 had already suffered dental trauma and undergone orthodontic treatment. The primary observed sequelae after orthodontic treatment indicated greater susceptibility to pulp necrosis in traumatized teeth compared to no previous trauma. The patients with orthodontically treated traumatized maxillary incisors were more susceptible to pulp necrosis (RR: 7.6; 95% CI: 3.64 to 15.87; p = 0.00001) compared to patients with previous dental trauma to the maxillary incisors and no subsequent orthodontic treatment. Conclusion: There is no well-established protocol for these cases; such treatment must be careful and respect the healing period post-trauma, when these teeth are more susceptible to pulp necrosis and pulp obliteration.
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Resumo

Introdução: Existe uma alta prevalência de trauma dental em pacientes com necessidade de tratamento ortodôntico, sendo importante o conhecimento da abordagem ortodôntica em dentes traumatizados. Assim, este estudo realizou uma revisão sistemática sobre abordagem ortodôntica em trauma dental. Materiais e Métodos: Dois investigadores realizaram uma revisão sistemática com metaanálise. MEDLINE / PubMed e Cochrane Library forneceram dados relevantes de estudos publicados entre fevereiro de 2004 e setembro de 2015 sobre a relação entre trauma dental e tratamento ortodôntico. Resultados: A suscetibilidade à necrose pulpar foi a medida de desfecho dicotômico avaliada pela razão de risco (RR) e os correspondentes intervalos de confiança de 95% (IC). A qualidade foi avaliada utilizando as diretrizes do Oxford Center for Evidence-Based Medicine (EbM). Uma estratégia de busca e aplicação de critérios de elegibilidade permitiram a seleção e avaliação de quatro estudos sobre tratamento ortodôntico em dentes traumatizados. No total, foram estudados 1.696 pacientes (média de idade de 11.6 anos) com tratamento ortodôntico ao longo de 24.2 meses e 484 também sofreram trauma dental e foram submetidos a tratamento ortodôntico. As principais sequelas observadas após o tratamento ortodôntico indicaram maior susceptibilidade à necrose pulpar em dentes traumatizados, em comparação com nenhum trauma prévio. Os pacientes com incisivos maxilares traumatizados tratados ortodonticamente foram mais suscetíveis à necrose pulpar (RR: 7.6; IC 95%: 3.64 a 15.87; p = 0.00001) em comparação com pacientes com traumatismo dentário anterior aos incisivos maxilares e sem tratamento ortodôntico subsequente. Conclusão: Não há um protocolo bem estabelecido para estes casos; tal tratamento deve ser cuidadoso e respeitar o período de cicatrização pós-trauma, quando estes dentes são mais suscetíveis à necrose pulpar e obliteração da polpa.

Descritores: Traumatismos Dentários; Ferimentos e Lesões; Avulsão Dentária; Ortodontia Corretiva.

INTRODUCTION

The high rates of dental caries and periodontal disease and also dental trauma are major public health problems that require the most urgent care in dental or hospital environments. In this context, the main etiological factors...
of dental trauma are physical violence; car, motorcycle, or bicycle accidents; and wide participation of people in sports and leisure activities with potential risk of dental trauma without using of mouth guards. Furthermore, protrusion of the incisors and consequent lack of marginal sealing lips contribute to an increase in this frequency. Young adults, teenagers, and mainly children are affected by dental trauma, especially tooth luxation. Thus, orthodontic treatment may be coadjuvant, preventing dental trauma by the correction of protrusion of the incisors and promotion of the marginal sealing lips.

After the occurrence of dental trauma, the following treatment can be divided didactically in three steps: primary, secondary, and tertiary treatments. Primary treatment is urgent care done during the first care visit. Pulp and periodontal ligament healing and the cicatrization process are expected in secondary treatments, when thecontainments are placed. Tertiary treatments are related to patient rehabilitation and are multidisciplinary, involving dental and medical areas.

Orthodontic treatment can be performed as part of the three treatment steps. Primary and secondary steps can be performed as orthodontic extrusion due to intrusus luxation or fractures involving the crown and root with invasion of the biological space. The tertiary step involves orthodontic treatment to rehabilitate and restore normal patterns in patients. However, the true effect of orthodontic treatment in post-trauma cases has not been established in the literature, and there are no procedure protocols for orthodontists.

Within this context, there is a high prevalence of dental trauma in patients in need of orthodontic treatment. Therefore, the aim of this study was to conduct a systematic review to evaluate the orthodontic approach in dental trauma.

MATERIAL AND METHOD

- **Register Protocol**
  Data extraction was conducted according to the PRISMA checklist and previously published studies. Initially, this study was registered on the international prospective register of systematic reviews (PROSPERO) (CRD42014014347).

- **Eligibility Criteria**
  The inclusion criteria were (a) the comparison of orthodontic treatment in patients with and without previous dental trauma; (b) orthodontic treatment in the tertiary step of trauma treatment; (c) in the absence of randomized controlled trials (RCT), prospective and retrospective trials was selected; and (d) studies in English language.

  Exclusion criteria were (a) studies that evaluated the orthodontic treatment in the secondary step of trauma treatment; (b) studies that described esthetic corrective orthodontic treatment for tooth lost due avulsion; (c) systematic reviews and literature reviews, in vitro and in vivo studies, letter to editor and clinical cases; and (d) studies without available abstracts.

  The PICO question was structured as follows: How much time is necessary to conduct orthodontic treatment in patients who have suffered dental trauma? In which: (P) patients who have suffered dental trauma, (I) patients who have suffered dental trauma before or during orthodontic treatment, (C) patients who have performed orthodontic treatment without previous dental trauma and/or patients who have suffered dental trauma and do not do orthodontic treatment, and (O) patients with possible injuries to the dental pulp.

- **Information Sources**
  PubMed/Medline and Cochrane Library databases were conducted to find articles published between February 2004 and June 2015. In addition, a manual search from May 2004 to September 2015 was conducted in the following periodicals: *Dental Traumatology, American Journal of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics, Journal of Orthopaedic Research, The Angle Orthodontist, Orthodontics & Craniofacial Research, and European journal of orthodontics*. It was not necessary to contact the author to identify additional studies.

- **Search Strategy**

- **Studies Selection**
  In the first stage of the selection process, two observers (CMM and VESB) independently selected articles based on title and abstract, in accordance with the eligibility criteria. After reviewing the titles and abstracts, articles were classified as either included or excluded. Any disagreements were resolved by discussion and consensus. In the second stage, the sampled articles were read, and a manual search was conducted in the reference list.

- **Data Extraction**
  One author (VESB) collected relevant information from selected articles: author, year of study, the research groups studied, number of patients and number of injured teeth, type of injury and number of teeth, orthodontic protocol in traumatized teeth, and applied force and outcome. Then, the second author (CMM) checked the extracted data, along with a third author (ACGV), and orthodontic specialist. Any disagreements were resolved by discussion and consensus.

- **Risk of Bias**
  Observational studies and clinical trials without detailed randomization protocols were considered studies with high risk of bias. To evaluate the quality of each study, we used the guidelines of the Oxford Centre for Evidence-
Based Medicine (EbM).

- **Summary Measures**

  The meta-analysis was based on the Mantel-Haenszel method. Susceptibility to pulp necrosis was the dichotomous outcomes measure evaluated by risk ratio (RR) and the corresponding 95% confidence intervals (CI). The software Reviewer Manager 5 (Cochrane Group) was used on the meta-analysis and to elaborate the funnel plot. Values were considered significant when \( p < 0.05 \).

- **Bias Risk among the Studies**

  Asymmetry of the funnel plot may indicate publication bias and other biases related to sample size, although the asymmetry may also show a true relationship between trial size and effect size. The heterogeneity was assessed using the method \( Q(x^2) \) and value of \( I^2 \). The \( I^2 \) statistic was used to express the percentage of the total variation across studies due to heterogeneity. The fixed effects model was used when there was a low level of heterogeneity between studies.

- **Additional Analysis**

  The kappa statistic was calculated to define interrater agreement for the process of inclusion of the publications in this systematic review. According to Landis and Koch\(^{16} \), the level of interrater agreement is almost perfect if the value of Kappa (K) is 0.81–1.00, substantial if K is 0.61–0.80, moderate if K is 0.41–0.60, fair if K is 0.21–0.40, and poor if K is < 0.20.

**RESULT**

- **Study Selection and Characteristics**

  A total of 1,344 articles were retrieved, of which only 4 fulfilled eligibility criteria (inter-reader agreement, Kappa = 0.95 for PubMed/Medline and Kappa = 1 for Cochrane Library). All studies are retrospective\(^{8,14-16} \). Extracted data are summarized in Table 1.

### Table 1. Extracted data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Groups Studied</th>
<th>Nº patients / Nº teeth</th>
<th>Trauma type/ Nº teeth</th>
<th>Orthodontic protocol in traumatized teeth</th>
<th>Applied force</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bauss et al.(^5)</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>G1 - Patients with orthodontically treated traumatized maxillary incisors (orthodontic intrusion)</td>
<td>186/269</td>
<td>Lateral luxation: 31</td>
<td>G1 - Orthodontic treatment of the previously traumatized teeth had begun not earlier than 3 months after hard-tissue injuries and 12 months after periodontal tissue injuries</td>
<td>15g per tooth</td>
<td>Teeth with severe periodontal injury during orthodontic therapy and subsequent total pulp obliteration showed a higher susceptibility to pulpal complications during orthodontic intrusion compared to traumatized teeth without or only partial pulp obliteration.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>G2 - Patients with previous dental trauma to the maxillary incisors and no subsequent orthodontic treatment</td>
<td>173/193</td>
<td>Intrusive luxation: 31</td>
<td>G1 - Orthodontic treatment had begun not earlier than 3 months after hard-tissue injuries or subluxation, and not earlier than 12 months after severe periodontal injuries (lateral, extrusive, or intrusive luxation)</td>
<td>15g per tooth</td>
<td>Previously traumatized maxillary incisors, and especially lateral incisors, with severe periodontal injuries have higher susceptibility to pulp necrosis during orthodontic intrusion compared to nontraumatized teeth.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bauss et al.(^5)</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>G1 - Patients suffering dental trauma during orthodontic treatment</td>
<td>186/269</td>
<td>Subluxation: 31</td>
<td>G1 - Patients suffering dental trauma during orthodontic treatment</td>
<td>15g per tooth</td>
<td>Orthodontic intrusion of traumatized teeth with total pulp obliteration showed a higher susceptibility to pulpal complications during orthodontic intrusion compared to traumatized teeth without or only partial pulp obliteration.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>G2 - Orthodontically treated patients without dental trauma</td>
<td>200/(-)</td>
<td>In cases of hard tissue (fracture of enamé-dentin) or slight periodontal injury (subluxation), orthodontic movement of the traumatized teeth was interrupted for 2 to 3 months. In cases with extrusive or lateral luxations, the traumatized tooth and associated fractures of the socket wall were repositioned carefully, and fixation was performed by stainless steel wires. This connected the traumatized tooth with both adjacent teeth and was left for 3 weeks. In all cases with severe periodontal injury, orthodontic movement was interrupted for 5 to 6 months</td>
<td>G1-(-)</td>
<td>Teeth with severe periodontal injury during orthodontic therapy and subsequent total pulp obliteration have an increased risk of pulp necrosis during later orthodontic treatment stages.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bauss et al.(^8)</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>G1 - Orthodontically treated patients with traumatized maxillary incisors (extrusion orthodontic)</td>
<td>186/269</td>
<td>Subluxation: 31</td>
<td>G1 - Orthodontic treatment was initiated at the earliest 3 months after hard-tissue injuries or subluxation and 12 months after severe periodontal tissue injuries (lateral, extrusive, or intrusive luxation)</td>
<td>20g per tooth</td>
<td>Maxillary incisors with a history of trauma and severe periodontal tissue injuries have a higher susceptibility to pulp necrosis during orthodontic extrusion than do non traumatized teeth.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>G2 - Orthodontically treated patients with nontraumatized maxillary incisors (extrusion orthodontic)</td>
<td>173/193</td>
<td>Intrusive luxation: 31</td>
<td>G2-(-)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>G3 - Patients with previous dental trauma to the maxillary incisors and no subsequent orthodontic treatment</td>
<td>173/193</td>
<td>Subluxation: 31</td>
<td>G3 - Patients with previous dental trauma to the maxillary incisors and no subsequent orthodontic treatment</td>
<td>20g per tooth</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---


---
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Qualitative Analysis

A total of 1,696 patients with an average age of 11.6 years had orthodontic treatment over 24.2 months were included, and 484 had already suffered dental trauma and had had orthodontic treatment.

The type of malocclusion is not elucidated in all studies. In research conducted by Bauss et al.\(^8\), the patients had an anterior open bite. However, in another study, Bauss et al.\(^16\) did not specify the type of malocclusion in patients. Malocclusion class II, division 1, and overbite were cited by Bauss et al.\(^2\) only in group 1 patients. The same type of malocclusion was described by Bauss et al.\(^14\) in groups 1 and 2; however, group 3 did not show the type of malocclusion.

A total of 1,446 central and lateral incisors were traumatized, and 634 of these teeth had injuries to the bones and pulp tissue, and 812 had injuries to periodontal tissue. The mean age was 9.4 years.

Orthodontic Protocol in Traumatized Teeth

A fixed orthodontic appliance with preadjusted brackets of 0.018" slots was used in all selected studies. Bauss et al.\(^8\) used a 0.016" x 0.016" Elgiloy® (Rocky Mountain Orthodontics, Denver, CO) blue extrusion arch from the maxillary tube of the maxillary right first molar to the maxillary left first molar.

Bauss et al.\(^16\) performed an initial orthodontic treatment specific to the type of trauma. Teeth that suffered intrusive and lateral luxation were repositioned and fixed with wire thickness of 0.016" x 0.022" over 3 weeks. In cases of intrusive luxation, they waited 3 or 4 weeks for the occurrence of spontaneous eruption. If this eruption did not occur, orthodontic extrusion using elastic fixed on 0.016" x 0.022" wire for 3 or 4 weeks was used.

The sequence of orthodontic wires for dental alignment and leveling was the same in all cases. Bauss et al.\(^14\)-\(^16\) used an Elgiloy® wire thickness of 0.016" x 0.016" to perform the orthodontic intrusion, extending from the upper first molar on one side to the first molar on the opposite side. Interference of canines and premolars was prevented by second-order folds contouring these teeth. Treatment intrusion was performed to correct the vertical problem. Thereafter, continuous arches and elastics to Class II orthodontic finishing were used. The orthodontic protocol for traumatized teeth for each study can be seen in Table 1.

Sequela after Orthodontic Treatment in Patients with Previous Trauma

The major sequela observed in these 484 traumatized patients after orthodontic treatment was greater susceptibility to pulp necrosis in traumatized teeth compared to teeth with no previous trauma (Table 1). Moreover, partial obliteration and total pulp obliteration were related.

Assessment of Study Quality

Data concerning the type of study and level of scientific evidence may be seen in Table 2. No randomized controlled studies were found.

Meta-Analysis

The meta-analysis of three studies with respect to pulp necrosis was performed\(^8,\(^14\),\(^16\). These studies showed a group of patients with orthodontically treated traumatized maxillary incisors compared to patients with previous dental trauma to the maxillary incisors and no subsequent orthodontic treatment. A weighted average across the studies was provided according to a fixed-effect model, and it indicated a statistical difference in pulp necrosis (RR: 7.6; 95% CI: 3.64 to 15.87; \(p = 0.00001\)); the group of patients with orthodontically treated traumatized maxillary incisors was more susceptible to pulp necrosis (Figure 1).

Furthermore, the heterogeneity intra-studies were of \(\chi^2 = 0.71\) and heterogeneity inter-studies were of \(\Gamma^2 = 0\). The funnel plot showed an evident symmetry among the difference of means of the studies evaluated (Figure 2).

Table 2. Quality of each study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Study Design</th>
<th>Evidence Level*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bauss et al.(^15)</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>Retrospective cohort study</td>
<td>IV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bauss et al.(^14)</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>Retrospective cohort study</td>
<td>IV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bauss et al.(^16)</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>Retrospective cohort study</td>
<td>IV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bauss et al.(^8)</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>Retrospective cohort study</td>
<td>IV</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Oxford Centre for Evidence-Based Medicine 2011 Levels of Evidence.

Figure 1. Forest plot; comparison of studies evaluating the pulp necrosis.
that nourishes the pulp. The relation between teeth with necrosis and without necrosis in teeth treated orthodontically after an injury varies from 10 to 18%. In teeth orthodontically treated without trauma the relation is 0.3 to 0.5% and in teeth treated only for a trauma the relation is 1.6%. Chi-square analyses show significant differences in the number of teeth with necrosis if the patient had sustained a trauma before orthodontic treatment or if they had no trauma.

None of the selected studies have reported difficulty in orthodontic movement in traumatized patients. The increase in the obliteration of the root canal due to dental trauma was reported by the studies when orthodontic movement was performed. Mild orthodontic forces should be used on teeth that have suffered trauma to prevent external root resorption. Furthermore, the intensity and duration must also be taken into account. Heavy and continuous forces may aggravate and increase the risk of resorption. In addition, other factors such as the type of orthodontic movement and thickness existing between the cortical bone and the root may influence the predisposition to resorption. Therefore, the use of less intense forces than normal is indicated. Studies suggest that force should not exceed 20g. The clinical and radiographic follow-up should be performed carefully because the possibility of pulp necrosis is great, and once detected, endodontic treatment should be instituted immediately. Studies show that other waiting periods are necessary to decrease sequelae on dental pulp.

In this study, most research involved braces, bands, and brackets. The use of braces is considered an effective form of treatment to generate better control of tooth movement and does not require the patient’s cooperation. In this context, studies that assess the influence of force in sequelae on dental pulp need be performed. These studies may show the behavior of traumatized teeth under orthodontic treatment besides serving as a foundation for systematic reviews in the future. However, we had difficulty in conducting this review because of the lack of articles, wherein in the four studies analyzed the selected studies did not mention external root resorption. Therefore, the use of less intense forces than normal is indicated. Studies suggest that force should not exceed 20g. The clinical and radiographic follow-up should be performed carefully because the possibility of pulp necrosis is great, and once detected, endodontic treatment should be instituted immediately. Studies show that other waiting periods are necessary to decrease sequelae on dental pulp.

## Conclusion

Many patients who suffer dental trauma need orthodontic treatment. The literature does not demonstrate a well-established protocol for orthodontic treatment in patients who have suffered dental trauma, but this treatment must be conducted carefully and respect the healing period post-trauma, when these teeth are more susceptible to pulp necrosis and pulp obliteration.
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