Composite resin for restoration of a posterior tooth and polishing: clinical case report

Resina composta para restauração de um dente posterior e polimento: relato de caso clínico

Abstract

The aim of the present report was to describe a case of direct composite resin restoration in tooth 46, with emphasis on the importance of polishing. A 21-year-old female patient dissatisfied with the aesthetic amalgam restoration of her tooth 46 came to our institution for correction of the situation. The procedure performed consisted of registration of occlusal contacts, selection of resin color, removal of amalgam restoration, coronal reconstruction with composite resin, occlusal adjustment, finishing and polishing, with the use of atomic force microscopy of the resin before and after polishing. A correct clinical protocol for the posterior composite resins is fundamental for the optimization of aesthetic results, for clinical performance and for consequent restorative longevity. The atomic force microscopy images of the resin used before and after polishing emphasize the necessity and clinical importance of this operative step.

Descriptors: Dental Materials; Dental Restoration, Permanent; Dental Polishing; Microscopy.

Resumen

El objetivo del presente relato fue describir un caso de restauración directa de resina compuesta en el diente 46, con énfasis en la importancia del pulido. Paciente de sexo femenino, 21 años, insatisfecha con la restauración estética de amalgama en el diente 46, buscó atención en nuestra institución. El procedimiento realizado consistió en registrar los contactos oclusales, selección de color de la resina, remoción de la restauración de amalgama, reconstrucción coronaria con resina composta, ajuste oclusal, acabado y pulido. Un protocolo clínico correcto para las resinas compuestas posteriores es fundamental para optimizar los resultados estéticos, para el desempeño clínico y consecuente longevidad restauradora. Las imágenes de microscopía de fuerza atómica de la resina utilizada antes y después del pulido enfatizan la necesidad y la importancia clínica de este procedimiento.

Descriptors: Materiales Dentarios; Restauración Dentaria Permanente; Polimento Dental; Microscopia.

INTRODUCTION

Currently, the media and society are increasingly valuing aesthetics and the quest for external perfection has reached great intensity in dental offices. To meet patient demand, restorative dentistry has evolved a lot in terms of materials and restorative techniques. The amalgam, until the late 1990s, was the only option for restorations in posterior teeth. With the evolution of adhesive systems and composites, together with the ability of clinicians to mimic the color, shape and texture of natural teeth, the use of composite resins has become widespread, with great efficiency and clinical success.

The clinical indication of composite resin in posterior teeth can be attributed to the advantages of these materials when compared to amalgam, such as greater preservation of healthy dental tissue, possibility of repair during maintenance appointments, and excellent aesthetic result. The composite resins are well indicated when there are occlusal and occlusal-proximal boxes that are not extensive, without loss of cusps and with cervical endings in enamel.

Modern resins have a higher percentage of charge volume and a smaller particle size, which substantially improve the mechanical resistance to masticatory forces and surface smoothness. When a direct restoration is performed with composite resin, it is necessary to finish and polish in order to obtain greater surface smoothness, avoiding plaque accumulation and providing periodontal tissue health, in addition to increasing the longevity of the restorations. Microscopic analysis by atomic force microscopy permits qualitative evaluation of the effects of finishing and polishing on the surfaces of composite resins. The objective of the present study was to report a clinical case based on the confection of a composite resin restoration in tooth 46 and to emphasize the importance of finishing and polishing after the restoration, as illustrated in atomic force microscopy images.
CLINICAL CASE

A 21-year-old female patient came to the Department of Restorative Dentistry (Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais, Belo Horizonte, MG, Brazil), dissatisfied with the aesthetics of an amalgam restoration in tooth 46. The initial procedure was prophylaxis with a Robinson brush (Microdont, Brazil), pumice stone (SS White, US) and water. Then, the colors of the composite resin, colors A1 and A2 (Venus, Heraeus, Germany) were selected. As the amalgam restorations were well adjusted, the contact points were determined previously with the aid of carbon film strips (Figure 1A). After absolute isolation (Madeitex, Brazil), the amalgam restoration was removed with a 1046 diamond tip (KG Sorensen, Brazil) with care taken to avoid wear of the dental structure by only touching the amalgam restoration (Figure 1B). After completion of the cavity preparation, conditioning was performed with 37% phosphoric acid (FGM, Brazil) for 30 seconds on the enamel and 15 seconds on the dentin (Figure 1C).

The cavity was washed with copious water and dried with absorbent paper. The Gluma 2 Bond adhesive system (Heraeus, Germany) was applied, followed by photoactivation for 20 seconds (LED light curing light Flash Power – Discus Dental, US) with 1100 mW/cm² (Figure 2A).

The tooth was reconstructed by the incremental technique with composite resins, colors OA2 and A2 (Charisma Classic, Heraeus, Germany). The composite was inserted with the aid of a #1 spatula, followed by photoactivation of each increment for 20 seconds. The first increment was started through the pulp wall and buccal and lingual cusps (Figure 2B and Figure 2C)⁷.

Occlusal adjustment was performed with 2135 diamond tips (KG Sorensen, Brazil) by checking the contact points previously determined with carbon strips (Accu Film II, Parkel, USA). The finishing and polishing of the restoration were finalized seven days after the preparation using a silicon carbide brush, abrasive rubbers and polishing paste (Jiffy brush system and Jiffy points, Ultradent, USA) (Figure 3A and Figure 3B). At present, the patient has been followed up for 1 year without the presence of marginal infiltration or any change indicating caries lesion.

Figure 3: A) insertion of the last composite layer with grooves and pits carved out; B) final appearance of the restoration after finishing and polishing.

For surface analysis by atomic force microscopy before and after polishing, specimens were prepared by inserting composite resin increments into a cylindrical metal matrix (5mm in diameter x 2mm thickness), followed by the photoactivation of each increment for 20 seconds. The specimens were analyzed with an atomic force microscope, Nanoscope IIIa (Laboratório Nacional de Luz Sincontron, Brazil)¹³. The atomic force microscopy images of the resin used obtained before and after polishing emphasize the necessity and clinical importance of this operative step, clearly showing the reduction of surface roughness after polishing (Figure 4)¹³.

DISCUSSION

The value attributed to the posterior teeth for smile aesthetics is a reality in dental clinical practice. The search for "invisible" restorations is a constant in dental practice and has driven the important evolution of aesthetic restorative materials¹. Among the most indicated materials, composite resins and dental ceramics occupy a prominent place, each with its indications, contraindications, advantages and disadvantages².

Composite resins are indicated for small and medium cavities. Since they do not require the
laboratory phase, they can be applied in a single session, permitting the execution of treatment at a more affordable cost. However, their success and clinical longevity depend on the skill, knowledge of the restorative material and technical expertise of the professional, in order to avoid possible problems such as contraction of composite polymerization, marginal infiltration and inadequate surface polishing.

Several methods and polishing techniques for composites are described in the literature. Surface polishing should be done one week after the restoration is completed, and can be done with rubber tips, abrasive discs, a silicon carbide brush and polishing paste, in order to obtain clinical longevity and greater surface smoothness, and to avoid future pigmentation. Surface analyses by atomic force microscopy emphasize the importance of this clinical step.

**CONCLUSION**

The use of composite resin by the direct technique in posterior teeth is extremely feasible as long as there is correct indication and planning, in order to associate the aesthetic excellence of this material with restorative durability and integrity. Images of atomic force microscopy emphasize the importance of polishing after completion of direct restorations with composite resin.
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