COVID-19 - Mouthwash in dental clinical practice: review

COVID-19 - Enxaguante bucal na prática clínica odontológica: revisão COVID-19 - Enjuague bucal en la práctica clínica dental: revisión

Mirlany Mendes Maciel **OLIVEIRA¹** Arthur Caetano de **ALMEIDA²**

Cristóvão Marcondes de Castro RODRIGUES¹

Izabella **SOL¹** Daniela **MENESES-SANTOS¹**

¹Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery and Traumatology, Faculty of Dentistry, Federal University of Uberlandia (UFU), Uberlandia-MG, Brazil ²Graduated in Dentistry - University of Uberlandia (UFU), Uberlandia, MG, Brazil

Abstract

Severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS-CoV-2) is a highly infectious respiratory disease. Dental surgeons perform various procedures that generate aerosolization, leading to the dissipation of saliva and drops of blood. The indication during the COVID-19 pandemic in clinical practice is the use of mouthwashes to reduce the viral load of SARS-CoV-2. The present work brings the main rinses indicated in the literature to minimize the viral load of SARS-CoV-2 in clinical practice.

Descriptors: Mouthwash; SARS Virus; Coronavirus Infections.

Resumo

A síndrome respiratória aguda grave (SARS-CoV-2) é uma doença respiratória altamente infecciosa. Os cirurgiões-dentistas realizam diversos procedimentos que geram aerossolização, levando à dissipação da saliva e gotas de sangue. A indicação durante a pandemia do COVID-19 na prática clínica é o uso de enxaguantes bucais para reduzir a carga viral da SARS-CoV-2. O presente trabalho traz os principais enxaguantes indicados na literatura para minimizar a carga viral do SARS-CoV-2 na prática clínica.

Descritores: Antissépticos Bucais; Vírus da SARS; Infecções por Coronavírus.

Resumen

El síndrome respiratorio agudo severo (SRAS-CoV-2, por sus siglas en inglés) es una enfermedad respiratoria altamente infecciosa. Los cirujanos dentistas realizan diversos procedimientos que generan aerosolización, provocando la disipación de saliva y gotas de sangre. La indicación durante la pandemia de COVID-19 en la práctica clínica es el uso de enjuagues bucales para reducir la carga viral del SARS-CoV-2. El presente trabajo trae los principales enjuagues indicados en la literatura para minimizar la carga viral del SARS-CoV-2 en la práctica clínica.

Descriptores: Antisépticos Bucales; Virus del SRAS; Infecciones por Coronavirus.

INTRODUCTION

The severe acute respiratory syndrome caused by coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) was first identified in the Chinese city of Wuhan in late 2019^1 . As of November 22, 2020, there were more than 57.8 million cases and 1.3 million deaths reported globally since the pandemic began².

Infection with SARS-CoV-2 occurs through the transmission of respiratory droplets and direct or indirect contact³. The infection occurs mainly through the coughing, sneezing, and saliva of an infected patient. Particles containing SARS-CoV-2 can remain suspended in the air, contaminating other individuals from points of entry such as mouth, nose, eyes, lesions on the skin, and mucous membranes, thus, interpersonal proximity becomes an important means of contagion for coronavirus 2^{1,4}. Dental work, in addition to being performed close to the patient, involves the production of aerosols⁵⁻⁷. This characteristic may indicate that dentists represent the group with the highest risk of infection, among health professionals.

There are various guidelines to reduce the exposure of these professionals to direct or crosscontamination with the coronavirus. The recommendation is to use mouthwash or mouthwash before dental clinical procedures, to decrease the viral load in saliva⁸. Currently, clinical research is underway to assess the power of mouthwashes to reduce the viral load of SARS-CoV-2⁹.

Analyzing the possible consequences that SARS-CoV-2 can develop, the concern in the

scenario of dental clinical practice becomes obvious. The use of personal protective equipment (PPE) is already a consensus among the dental population. The obstacle, however, becomes after-care since the virus can remain in the air and on the surfaces for several hours^{4,10}. Thus, even with all the care taken during care, the infection may occur at some point.

Given all this information, dental professionals go through a period of uncertainty and concerns. Reducing the patient's viral load would be an alternative to decrease the risk of infection, and thus make the profession safer. Among the recommendations for dental care, preoperative mouthwashes can help reduce viral load⁴. Sarfaraz et al.¹¹ reported that only 22.6% of dentists were aware of the antiviral action of povidone-iodine and 36.9% of the action of hydrogen peroxide.

Therefore, the objective of the present work is to make a brief narrative review of the mouthwashes recommended for the reduction of the viral load of COVID-19, making it possible to guide professionals.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

• Mouthwash versus COVID-19

Dental surgeons perform numerous procedures that produce a lot of aerosolization in the clinical environment and present a high risk of contamination by COVID-19^{12,13}. The aerosol produced during dental procedures can travel between 1 and 3 meters, thus facilitating

contamination¹⁴. All this information should be evaluated considering consideration the premise that the salivary glands are in constant cyclic activity, so saliva is constantly renewed. With this salivary renewal, the virus becomes present, again in the oral cavity¹⁵. Clinical research is currently underway to assess the power of mouthwashes to reduce the viral load of SARS-CoV-2⁹. On November 29, 2020, only eighteen study protocols involving SARS-CoV-2 and mouthwashes are listed on (https://clinicaltrials.gov/)¹⁶.

• Chlorhexidine (CHX)

Chlorhexidine gluconate (Chlorhexidine) is a cationic biguanide used as an antiseptic that has a broad spectrum with bactericidal and bacteriostatic properties¹⁷. The chlorhexidine may not be effective in killing SARS-CoV-2⁶. Chlorhexidine has shown limited effectiveness in relation to coronavirus¹⁸. In a study by Yoon et al.¹⁹ it showed that the viral load of SARS-CoV-2 is high in saliva. In addition, he demonstrated that chlorhexidine (0.12%, 15 mL) for 30 seconds is effective in reducing viral load for two hours. Izzetti et al.²⁰ argue that there is still a lack of systematic data on the use of chlorhexidine as a mouthwash to reduce the viral load of SARS-CoV-2.

Povidone-iodine (PVP-I) is a stable chemical complex of polyvinylpyrrolidone and elemental iodine, being a broad-spectrum antimicrobial that is used in the control and prevention of infections²¹. Parhar et al.²² believe that the topical application of povidone-iodine (PVP-I) ranging from 0.23% to 7% may help to reduce the viral load and the aerosolization potential of SARS-Cov-2. In a study carried out by Kariwa et al.²³, the authors observed that the PVP-I products used for two minutes reduced the infectivity of SARS-CoV by completely inactivating the virus, thus gargling or spraying the throat would have a prophylactic effect.

The use of PVP-I as a mouthwash to reduce viral load in the oral cavity has been studied since the outbreak of the Middle East Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus (MERS-CoV), showing good results in the concentration of 1% of PVP- I with 30-second exposure²⁴. Gargling or rinsing with 0.23% PVP-I has effective bactericidal activity against SARS-CoV, MERS-CoV, and the influenza A (H1N1) virus²⁵. The use of PVP-I is well tolerated by patients and has a low cost and can be used in prophylaxis before patients undergo procedures²⁶. In a review of the literature Farzan and Firoozi²⁷ evaluated which mouthwash was most appropriate for the elimination of coronavirus, in this review four studies were found, of which three attest to the efficiency of PVP-I as an effective mouthwash for coronavirus.

Arefin et al.²⁸ reported a new approach combining polyethylene protection fields with PVP-I-based mouthwashes. According to the authors, both

health professionals and patients should perform preoperative mouthwashes with 10 mL of 1% PVP-I solution, for 1 minute. The authors recommend that, in patients with impaired consciousness, a sponge soaked in 2-5 mL of 1% PVP-I should be used to carefully clean the surface of the oral cavity. Khan and Parab²⁹ proposed in their study a similar preprocedure oral hygiene regime, however with the use of 10 mL of 0.5% PVP-I solution, with mouthwashes for 30 seconds, followed by a 30 second period in which the solution remains at the back of the throat. The authors recommended that health professionals perform this procedure every 2-3 hours. This study showed that both professionals and patients did not report discomfort or allergy to the solution. Meister et al.³⁰ reported that Iso-Betadine mouthwash 0%, a product based on iodopolividone, is capable of significantly reducing the infectivity of SARS-CoV-2 to undetectable levels. Imran et al.³¹ suggests that the use of PVP-I has its toxic effects depending on the dose and the contraindications should be evaluated before the prescription. In a review carried out by Burton et al.³² he showed concern about the studies on mouthwashes in progress and does not declare whether he will assess adverse effects or changes in the oral or nasal microbiota.

• Hydrogen peroxide (H_2O_2)

Peng et al.⁶ recommend the use of oxidizing agents to perform mouth rinses before dental procedures, to reduce viral load. In the sequence, other authors reinforced the use of 0.5-1% hydrogen peroxide in reducing the viral load of SARS-CoV-2³³. Hydrogen peroxide decomposes to form water, oxygen, and hydroxyl free radicals, which can cleave biomolecules¹⁷.

Kampf et al.¹⁰ reported in a recent review that hydrogen peroxide was effective against coronavirus at a concentration of 0.5%. Catalases present in the patient's oral environment can inactivate hydrogen peroxide. Therefore, O'Donnell et al.³⁴ suggests that this action can be reduced by pre-washing with water. This would increase the effectiveness of hydrogen peroxide. Ortega et al.³⁵ question the use of 1% hydrogen peroxide or 0.2% povidone as a mouthwash, so that, so far, these conducts are not based on scientific studies and can cause harm to patients. Most published studies recommend the use of 1% hydrogen peroxide or 0.2% PVP-I as a preoperative mouthwash³⁶.

Gottsauner et al.³⁷ evaluated the efficacy of mouthwashes with 20 mL of 1% hydrogen peroxide, with gargling for 30 seconds, through a prospective pilot clinical study. Ten patients were included in the sample, and the authors concluded that there were no significant differences between baseline viral load and viral load after mouthwash with 1% hydrogen peroxide, that is, this solution did not affect reducing intraoral viral load of patients tested positive for

SARS-CoV-2.

• Cetylpyridinium chloride (CPC)

N-hexadecyl pyridinium chloride or cetylpyridinium chloride (CPC) is a water-soluble quaternary ammonium compound, non-oxidizing or corrosive and highly cationic at neutral pH³⁸. The CPC works by promoting the inactivation of the virus by destroying the capsid, as well as by its lisosomotropic action³⁹. CPC ($0.05 \pm 0.1\%$) showed effective activity against MERS-CoV⁴⁰. The 0.05% CPC can be used as a mouthwash using 15ml for 30 seconds due to its oxidizing action⁴¹.

o Statin-Based Mouthwashes

Statin-based drugs are safe and effective, with antimicrobial, antiviral, antifungal, antiinflammatory, immunomodulatory and antioxidant properties⁴². Based on these principles Abdulrab et al.⁴³ raised the hypothesis that the pre-procedure mouthwash of 1% simvastatin solution (dissolution of simvastatin 20 mg tablets in distilled water), used for 15-20 seconds can minimize the risks of transmission of SARS-CoV-2.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, studies on the effectiveness of mouthwashes in reducing the viral load of SARS-CoV-2 are reduced, and so far, the different protocols and substances studied have shown some level of reduction in viral load from saliva. Therefore, the use of PVP-I and the use of cetylpyridinium chloride are the substances that showed the most effective results against SARS-CoV-2; however, more in vitro and in vivo work must be performed.

REFERENCES

- Lo Giudice R. The Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus-2 (SARS CoV-2) in Dentistry. Management of Biological Risk in Dental Practice. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2020;17(9):3067.
- Weekly epidemiological update 24 November 2020. Geneva; World Health Organization; 2020-11-24. ID: who-337127.
- 3. World Health Organization. Coronavirus Disease 2019 (Covid-19): Situation Report. 174. Available online: https://www.who.int/docs/default-source/coronaviruse/situation-reports/20200712-covid-19-sitrep-174.pdf (accessed June 9, 2020).
- Bizzoca ME, Campisi G, Muzio LL. Covid-19 Pandemic: What Changes for Dentists and Oral Medicine Experts? A Narrative Review and Novel Approaches to Infection Containment. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2020;17(11):3793.
- 5. Jamal M, Shah M, Almarzooqi SH, Aber H, Khawaja S, El Abed R, Alkhatib Z, Samaranayake LP. Overview of transnational recommendations for COVID-19 transmission control in dental care settings. Oral Dis. 2020:10.1111/odi.13431.

- 7. Chen J. Pathogenicity and transmissibility of 2019-nCoV-A quick overview and comparison with other emerging viruses. Microbes Infect. 2020;22(2):69-71.
- 8. Rocca JAr What is the most effective mouthwash in patients infected with covid-19 to minimize possible transmission by saliva? Update. J Dent Maxillofacial Res. 2020;3(1):1-5.
- 9. Pattanshetty S, Narayana A, Radhakrishnan R. Povidone-iodine gargle as a prophylactic intervention to interrupt the transmission of SARS-CoV-2. Oral Dis.2020:10.1111/odi.13378.
- 10. Kampf G, Todt D, Pfaender S, Steinmann E. Persistence of coronaviruses on inanimate surfaces and their inactivation with biocidal agents. J Hosp Infect. 2020;104(3):246-51.
- Sarfaraz S, Shabbir J, Mudasser MA, Khurshid Z, Al-Quraini AAA, Abbasi MS, Ratnayake J, Zafar MS. Knowledge and Attitude of Dental Practitioners Related to Disinfection during the COVID-19 Pandemic. Healthcare (Basel). 2020;8(3):232.
- Modes of transmission of virus causing COVID-19: implications for IPC precaution recommendations: scientific brief. Geneva; World Health Organization; 2020. (WHO/2019nCoV/Sci_Brief/Transmission_modes/2020.2). ID: who-331616.
- Ahmed MA, Jouhar R, Ahmed N, Adnan S, Aftab M, Zafar MS, Khurshid Z. Fear and Practice Modifications among Dentists to Combat Novel Coronavirus Disease (COVID-19) Outbreak. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2020;17(8):2821.
- 14. Marui VC, Souto MLS, Rovai ES, Romito GA, Chambrone L, Pannuti CM. Efficacy of preprocedural mouthrinses in the reduction of microorganisms in aerosol: A systematic review. J Am Dent Assoc. 2019;150(12):1015-26.e1.
- 15. Villani FA, Aiuto R, Paglia L, Re D. COVID-19 and Dentistry: Prevention in Dental Practice, a Literature Review. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2020;17(12):4609.
- 16. Gansky SA, Banava S. Antiseptic Mouthwash / Pre-Procedural Rinse on SARS-CoV-2 Load 274 (COVID-19) (AMPoL). https://clinicaltrials.ucsf. edu/trial/NCT04409873 (accessed June 11, 2020)
- 17. McDonnell G, Russell AD. Antiseptics and disinfectants: activity, action, and resistance. Clin Microbiol Rev. 1999;12(1):147-79. Erratum in: Clin Microbiol Rev 2001;14(1):227.
- 18. Geller C, Fontanay S, Mourer M, Dibama HM, Regnouf-de-Vains JB, Finance C, Duval RE. Antiseptic properties of two calix[4]arenes derivatives on the human coronavirus 229E. Antiviral Res. 2010;88(3):343-46.

- Yoon JG, Yoon J, Song JY, Yoon SY, Lim CS, Seong H, Noh JY, Cheong HJ, Kim WJ. Clinical Significance of a High SARS-CoV-2 Viral Load in the Saliva. J Korean Med Sci. 2020;35(20):e195.
- 20. Izzetti R, Nisi M, Gabriele M, Graziani F. COVID-19 Transmission in Dental Practice: Brief Review of Preventive Measures in Italy. J Dent Res. 2020;99(9):1030-38.
- Fleischer W, Reimer K. Povidone-iodine in antisepsis--state of the art. Dermatology. 1997;195 Suppl 2:3-9.
- 22. Parhar HS, Tasche K, Brody RM, Weinstein GS, O'Malley BW Jr, Shanti RM, Newman JG. Topical preparations to reduce SARS-CoV-2 aerosolization in head and neck mucosal surgery. Head Neck. 2020;42(6):1268-72.
- 23. Kariwa H, Fujii N, Takashima I. Inactivation of SARS coronavirus by means of povidone-iodine, physical conditions and chemical reagents. Dermatology. 2006;212(Suppl 1):119-23.
- 24. Eggers M, Eickmann M, Zorn J. Rapid and Effective Virucidal Activity of Povidone-Iodine Products Against Middle East Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus (MERS-CoV) and Modified Vaccinia Virus Ankara (MVA). Infect Dis Ther. 2015;4(4):491-501.
- 25. Eggers M, Koburger-Janssen T, Eickmann M, Zorn J. In Vitro Bactericidal and Virucidal Efficacy of Povidone-Iodine Gargle/Mouthwash Against Respiratory and Oral Tract Pathogens. Infect Dis Ther. 2018;7(2):249-59.
- 26. Kerawala C, Riva F. Aerosol-generating procedures in head and neck surgery can we improve practice after COVID-19? Br J Oral Maxillofac Surg. 2020;58(6):704-7.
- 27. Farzan A, Firoozi P. Common mouthwashes for pre-procedural rinsing in dental practice: which one is appropriate for eliminating coronaviruses? A mini literature review. Triple R. 2020;5:e2
- 28. Arefin MK, Arafat MS, Talukder DC, Rumi SkNF, Islam MdN, Rahman SH et al. 'POLIDON' Approach-A Novel Solution for the ENT & Skull Base Surgeons in COVID-19 era, Indian J Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 2020;1-5.
- 29. Khan MM, Parab SR. Safety Guidelines for Sterility of Face Shields During COVID 19 Pandemic. Indian J Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 2020:1-2.
- 30. Meister TL, Brüggemann Y, Todt D, Conzelmann C, Müller JA, Groß R et al. Virucidal Efficacy of Different Oral Rinses Against Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2. J Infect Dis. 2020;222(8):1289-92.
- 31. Imran E, Khurshid Z, M Al Qadhi AA, A Al-Quraini AA, Tariq K. Preprocedural Use of Povidone-Iodine Mouthwash during Dental Procedures in the COVID-19 Pandemic. Eur J

Dent. 2020;14(S 01):S182-84.

- 32. Burton MJ, Clarkson JE, Goulao B, Glenny AM, McBain AJ, Schilder AG, Webster KE, Worthington HV. Antimicrobial mouthwashes (gargling) and nasal sprays administered to patients with suspected or confirmed COVID-19 infection to improve patient outcomes and to protect healthcare workers treating them. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2020;9:CD013627.
- 33. Ather A, Patel B, Ruparel NB, Diogenes A, Hargreaves KM. Coronavirus Disease 19 (COVID-19): Implications for Clinical Dental Care. J Endod. 2020;46(5):584-95.
- 34. O'Donnell VB, Thomas D, Stanton R, Maillard J-Y, Murphy RC, Jones SA et al. Potential role of oral rinses targeting the viral lipid envelope in SARS-CoV-2 infection. function. Function (Oxf). 2020;1(1):zqaa002.
- 35. Ortega KL, Rodrigues de Camargo A, Bertoldi Franco J, Mano Azul A, Pérez Sayáns M, Braz Silva PH. SARS-CoV-2 and dentistry. Clin Oral Investig. 2020;24(7):2541-42.
- Cirillo N. COVID-19 outbreak: succinct advice for dentists and oral healthcare professionals. Clin Oral Investig. 2020;24(7):2529-35.
- 37. Gottsauner MJ, Michaelides I, Schmidt B, Scholz KJ, Buchalla W, Widbiller M et al. A prospective clinical pilot study on the effects of a hydrogen peroxide mouthrinse on the intraoral viral load of SARS-CoV-2. Clin Oral Investig. 2020;24(10):3707-13.
- 38. Herrera D, Serrano J, Roldán S, Sanz M. Is the oral cavity relevant in SARS-CoV-2 pandemic? Clin Oral Investig. 2020;24(8):2925-30.
- 39. Baker N, Williams AJ, Tropsha A, Ekins S. Repurposing Quaternary Ammonium Compounds as Potential Treatments for COVID-19. Pharm Res. 2020;37(6):104.
- 40. Shen L, Niu J, Wang C, Huang B, Wang W, Zhu N, Deng Y, Wang H, Ye F, Cen S, Tan W. High-Throughput Screening and Identification of Potent Broad-Spectrum Inhibitors of Coronaviruses. J Virol. 2019;93(12):e00023-19.
- 41. Vergara-Buenaventura A, Castro-Ruiz C. Use of mouthwashes against COVID-19 in dentistry. Br J Oral Maxillofac Surg. 2020;58(8):924-27.
- 42. Tahamtan S, Shirban F, Bagherniya M, Johnston TP, Sahebkar A. The effects of statins on dental and oral health: a review of preclinical and clinical studies. J Transl Med. 2020;18(1):155.
- 43. Abdulrab S, Alkadasi B, Al-Maweri S, Halboub E, Alhadainy H, Geerts G. Statins-based prophylactic mouthwash and nasal spray may protect against coronavirus disease 2019. New Microbes New Infect. 2020;37:100751.

CONFLICTS OF INTERESTS

The authors declare no conflicts of interests.

CORRESPONDING AUTHOR

Daniela Meneses Santos

Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery and Traumatology, Faculty of Dentistry, Federal University of Uberlandia (UFU) Address: Av. Pará, 1748 - Umuarama, Uberlândia - MG, 38405-320 Bloco 4T. Uberlândia Minas Gerais-MG. Phone number: (34) 998392384 E-mail: danyymeneses@yahoo.com.br

> Received 27/12/2020 Accepted 29/12/2020