Open tray impression technique using a silica-nylon mesh for splitting implants: a case report
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Abstract
This article describes an alternative open tray technique for implant impressions using a novel reinforced silica-nylon mesh covered with acrylic resin as a splitting system in assembling the abutment complex. The purpose of the procedure is to simplify the technique and improve the resin contraction during clinical procedure, and also optimizes and reduces the chairside time for the patient. The clinical report was supported by an in vitro study where an analysis tool, Strain Gauge Analysis, was used to prove the clinical effectiveness of the technique. The peri-implant strain was determined on polyurethane casts with the torqued prosthesis, and statistically there was no difference in strain under torque of transfers or in the final prosthesis. The nylon mesh attached to acrylic resin represents a promising option for open tray impression technique, creating a resistant union to transfer in an excellent procedure time.

Descriptors: Dental Implants; Dental Prosthesis; Nylons; Computer Simulation.

Resumo
Este artigo descreve uma alternativa para a técnica de moldeira aberta para moldagem de implantes utilizando uma nova malha de reforço de sílica-nylon coberta por resina acrílica para ferulização dos componentes protéticos. O propósito deste procedimento é simplificar a técnica e compensar a contração da resina durante os procedimentos clínicos, e também otimizar e reduzir o tempo de atendimento do paciente. O relato de caso foi amparado com um estudo in vitro onde uma ferramenta de análise de extensometria, Análise de Strain Gauge, foi utilizada para avaliar a efetividade clínica da técnica. A deformação peri-implantar foi determinada nas bases de poliuretano com a prótese instalada, e estatisticamente não houve diferença estatística na deformação nos torques dos transferentes ou na prótese final. A malha de nylon unida à resina acrílica representa uma opção promissora para a técnica de moldagem com moldeira aberta, criando uma união resistente aos transferentes em um excelente tempo clínico.

Descritores: Implantes Dentários; Prótese Dentária; Nylons; Simulação por Computador.

INTRODUCTION
The loss of dental elements compromises the body’s physiological system and causes internal oral collapse as well as affecting patient’s physical and social well-being. Therefore, the restitution of lost dental elements is very important and the challenge for restorative dental surgeons is to return aesthetics and function to the patient.

Oral implant rehabilitation with fixed dental prostheses requires several steps to final restoration and to achieve a precision of fit. In implant-supported prostheses the accurate reproduction of the implant position is essential. Marginal fit is a key factor and inaccuracies in the prosthesis can lead to failure. Normal practice requires attention to the impression technique, impression material, stone properties, and connection type to make a flawless prosthesis. The choice of impression materials and techniques (closed tray or indirect technique, and open tray or direct technique) are fundamental to obtaining correct register in the three-dimensional position of the implants. The direct technique may use splinted or non splinted implant impression coping. The process of luting the cylinders with self-curing acrylic resin directly in the patient’s mouth is a careful exercise; the technique required application of the acrylic resin filling with a small paintbrush in increments, cutting the assembly with a thin disc after stabilization of the acrylic resin and luting the assembly together again. Shrinkage of the acrylic resin may hamper the accuracy of the cast.
Recently, an experimental silica-nylon mesh was developed to reinforce acrylic resin prostheses; the promising results showed an increase in the strength of the restoration\(^{17,18}\). This new material is also available for interim acrylic resin in an open tray technique instead of dental floss connected to the transfers to create a structure to support the acrylic resin during an implant impression. This may be less time-consuming than intraoral.

This material was manufactured to optimize the precision results of molding and reduce the time taken to complete the clinical procedure. Nylon mesh is modified by the addition of silica at the proportion of 0.5% of the total volume\(^{19}\). This case report describes the use of a new mesh tested with acrylic resin to connect implants or abutments and suggests a clinical guideline for implant impression technique.

In this case report, a Strain Gauge Analysis (SGA) was included just to illustrate a possible stress condition on the tissues when using this alternative open tray technique for implant impressions that allowed the metallic structure of the implants to be obtained in a single body without requiring framework section and soldering.

**CLINICAL CASE**

A 53-year-old woman sought prosthodontics treatment for dental implants. The patient presented with dental loss of lower central incisors, which occurred several years before, and she expressed the wish to smile again. A complete medical history was obtained in the first appointment, along with an impression of the superior and inferior dental arch. In the next visit, the clinical practitioner extracted lower lateral incisors under local anesthetic, mepivacaine 3% (Mepivacaine DFL, Mepiadre 100, Brazil), and immediately post-extraction, performed 2 implants. The sequence of drills to prepare the site was: pilot; 2.0; 2.5; 2.8; 3.0, and 3.2 mm, 3.75 ×13mm (Cone Morse EX, Neodent, São Paulo, Brazil). Two transmucosal abutments, 2.5mm (Micro pilar Cone Morse, Neodent, São Paulo, Brazil), were screwed on implants and a provisional acrylic resin crown was placed under immediate loading. Patients have shown successful outcomes when treated with immediate implant placement, post-extraction, in comparison to traditional technique where the placement is delayed; this also enhances healing procedures, preserving the morphology of hard and soft tissues.

After a 4-month healing phase, the prosthetic treatment was continued.

The following technique describes an alternative open tray impression for multiple implants used a nylon mesh connected to acrylic resin (Duralay; Reliance Dental). This procedure ensures stability. Two transfers were placed intraoral and firmly screwed on abutments. The impression trays were customized with holes according to the position of the transfers (Figure 1). For a conventional impression, floss is essential to create a structure for the acrylic resin; in this case, the nylon mesh takes the place of the dental floss, in a simple and fast step (Figure 2).

**Figure 1:** Intraoral - abutments and impression copings screwed onto abutments.

**Figure 2:** Silica-nylon in position for impression copings.

The acrylic resin was molded with power, (polymer) and liquid (monomer) was applied with a paintbrush supported by the nylon mesh. After polymerization of the acrylic resin, the clinician started the impression. The resin was not sectioned and reconnected for open tray impression copings (Figure 3).

**Figure 3:** Splinted impression technique at the abutment level.
All tissues were cleaned and dried. The impression was injected with a medium-bodied silicone material (Zhermack; Elite HD) in an impression tray, and light-bodied silicone (Zhermack; Elite HD) was placed in the patient’s mouth and onto the medium-bodied silicone (Figure 4).

Once the impression material was polymerized, the transfers were unscrewed and removed from the patient’s mouth (Figure 5). The cover was replaced, and the pickup analogs of the abutment were gently screwed in the transfers. For this study, one open tray was cast in the prosthetic laboratory and another impression was set in the same clinical conditions as the simulation in the in vitro test. The stress around the implant-abutment was recorded with strain gauge analysis.

A silicone gingival mask (Gingifast; Zhermack) was set around the abutments to reproduce gum morphology in the cast, with the same dimensions as the implant inserted in the patient. The dental stone type IV (Zero stone; Dentona) was poured into the impression tray and once the material was set, it was removed from the silicone impression. The fixed dental prosthesis was made by conventional technique with a metal substructure of Ni-Cr alloy and a feldspathic ceramic covering (Figures 6 and 7).

Polyurethane was used in an open tray for the in vitro study. For SGA, the gauges (KFG-02-120-C1-11N30C2; Kyowa Electronic Instruments; Co. Ltd.) were positioned and attached with methyl-2-cyanoacrylate adhesive (Super Bonder, Loctite) on the upper surface of the experimental model next to the implants and held in place under slight pressure for 3 minutes (Figures 8 and 9).

A silicone gingival mask (Gingifast; Zhermack) was set around the abutments to reproduce gum morphology in the cast, with the same dimensions as the implant inserted in the patient. The dental stone type IV (Zero stone; Dentona) was poured into the impression tray and once the material was set, it was removed from the silicone impression. The fixed dental prosthesis was made by conventional technique with a metal substructure of Ni-Cr alloy and a feldspathic ceramic covering (Figures 6 and 7).

Polyurethane was used in an open tray for the in vitro study. For SGA, the gauges (KFG-02-120-C1-11N30C2; Kyowa Electronic Instruments; Co. Ltd.) were positioned and attached with methyl-2-cyanoacrylate adhesive (Super Bonder, Loctite) on the upper surface of the experimental model next to the implants and held in place under slight pressure for 3 minutes (Figures 8 and 9).
Each SG (SG1 and SG2) was related to the wire separately and connected to a multichannel bridge amplifier, to form one leg of the bridge. An analysis program (System 5000, Model 5100 Scanner, Vishay Measurements Group, Raleigh, NC) was used to measure, record, and process the strain values induced around the implant when torque was achieved during performance of the transfers and in the final prosthesis (Table I).

### Table I. Mean values from 2 strain gauges in polyurethane cast. Impression coping and the final prosthesis were tested.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>SG</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>StDev</th>
<th>CoefVar</th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>Median</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Impression Coping</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$\bar{X}$</td>
<td>1254.8</td>
<td>60.4</td>
<td>4.81</td>
<td>1192.0</td>
<td>1260.0</td>
<td>1259.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$\bar{X}$</td>
<td>994.2</td>
<td>49.3</td>
<td>4.95</td>
<td>966.0</td>
<td>985.0</td>
<td>1014.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prosthesis</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$\bar{X}$</td>
<td>1295.2</td>
<td>57.2</td>
<td>4.41</td>
<td>1250.0</td>
<td>1280.0</td>
<td>1294.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$\bar{X}$</td>
<td>910.0</td>
<td>159.7</td>
<td>17.94</td>
<td>820.0</td>
<td>910.0</td>
<td>972.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**RESULTS**

The metallic infrastructure was prepared and tested in place. During this clinical evaluation, it was noted that there was no requirement to section the infrastructure. The metal-ceramic crown showed passive fit, no adjustment was made.

The mean values of this in vitro study showed the following results: strain values were 1254 (SG1) and 994 (SG2) when the impression copings were screwed in, and in the final prosthesis were 1295 (SG1) and 910 (SG2). There was little difference between each strain gauge. No significant difference was detected between the impression copings and the prosthesis.

**DISCUSSION**

The open tray technique has several advantages in term of greater precision, stability, and accuracy compared with the closed technique\(^{19,20}\). The material of choice for implant impression is polyvinyl siloxane (addition-silicone) or polyether. Both classes of material can be used by the clinician\(^{15,19,21}\).

In an attempt to provide a master cast that replicated the clinical situation, the implants must be splinted to obtain accuracy\(^{22}\). The splinted technique can be with acrylic resin, visible light-cured resin or Protemp (bis-GMA resin)\(^{22,26}\). In implantodontology, the clinical procedures for taking an impression imply a precision technique to obtain exact dimensions of the mouth and even the most experience practitioner can encounter difficulties\(^{22}\). In order to stabilize the tray and the molding material, the use of open tray direct pick-up impression technique is the standard solution when performing multiple implants\(^{27}\). An ideal technique should be easy to perform, involve minimal time, be comfortable for the patient, and should produce accurate results\(^{14}\).

In particular, when using this new nylon mesh to support acrylic resin, which is easy to handle and more effective in reducing the time spent creating an accurate master cast, the strain gauge analysis did not reveal differences when screwing in the implant impression copings or the final prosthesis. This indicates no stress for the bone tissue.

Another aspect that must be considered when using nylon mesh to support a resin, is that the mesh creates a structure more resistant than dental floss with resin. The clinical report reveals accurate (precision as result of splitting stability) working cast and reduction of chair time, which results in patient satisfaction.

In addition, the in vitro study showed similar results between the implant impression copings and the final prosthesis, considering the material used in this study. The mean strain values for final prosthesis were 1295 (SG1) and 910 (SG2). Other authors have observed similar results in the range of strain in implant-supported prostheses\(^{28-30}\).

Because there are improvements in all impression materials, the open tray technique using experimental novel nylon silica may serve as a viable option for any clinician. Further studies evaluating the impression techniques using nylon mesh are necessary.

**CONCLUSION**

This clinical report described an alternative technique. The nylon mesh attached to acrylic resin represents a promising option for open tray impression technique, creating a resistant union to transfer in an excellent procedure time.
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